IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

GREGORY P. BARTUNEK,)	
Plaintiff,)	8:16CV69
V.)	
)	MEMORANDUM
EFRAME, LLC, BRANDON)	AND ORDER
NYFFELER, and MARCO)	
TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

Plaintiff, who is proceeding pro se, has moved (Filing <u>36</u>) to file an amended brief with exhibits in opposition to the motion for summary judgment (Filing <u>23</u>) filed by defendant Marco Technologies, LLC ("Marco"), in order to correct deficiencies under this court's local rules. I shall allow the amendment and give Marco an opportunity to respond.

IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. Plaintiff's motion (Filing <u>36</u>) to file an amended brief in opposition to the pending motion for summary judgment (Filing <u>23</u>) filed by defendant Marco Technologies, LLC, is granted, and the amended brief and exhibits contained in Filing <u>36</u> are accepted, will be considered as Plaintiff's operative response to defendant Marco's motion for summary judgment, and shall supersede Plaintiff's original response (Filing <u>26</u>) to Marco's motion for summary judgment;
- 2. Defendant Marco Technologies, LLC, may reply to Plaintiff's amended response within 10 days of the date of this order, after which its motion for summary judgment (Filing 23) shall be ripe for resolution.

DATED this 2nd day of June, 2016.

BY THE COURT:

Richard G. Kopf
Senior United States District Judge